

Remarks/Arguments

This paper is in response to the Final Office Action of June 1, 2007. The due date for response is August 1, 2007. The current status of the claims are summarized herein.

Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 11-12, and 22 are pending upon entry of this response.


Rejections under 35 U.S.C. section 103:

Claims 1, 4-8, 11-12, 14-15, 18-19 and 21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al. (Schneider), U.S. Patent No. 6,785,728 in view of Huang et al., (hereinafter Huang) U.S. patent No. 6,571,245. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Examiner has clearly pointed out that Schneider does not suggest or teach administering a class which includes storing an account state for a user of the system, the account state including the work of the user at a terminal; and routing the account state of the user to a second terminal wherein the user is logged onto the second terminal. The Examiner is relying on Huang to teach this feature of the claimed invention. However, Huang fails to suggest or teach storing an account state including the work of the user at a terminal and routing the account state of the user to a second terminal. Huang suggests providing a virtual desktop to a user from a variety of systems through various communication links. The virtual desktop is merely a synchronizing mechanism that synchronizes various desktops that the user accesses. The user accesses a personal web page when the user accesses a particular desktop wherein the personal web page includes links to various applications, files and folders available to the user. This is not the same as storing an account state of the user including the work and routing the account state to a second terminal when the user accesses the second terminal. In operation, the account state, including states of current documents, are preserved and redirected to a second terminal the user is logged onto so that the he can resume work from where he previously left off. (See page 11, lines 5-17 of the instant application).

Combining Huang with Schneider would not result in the claimed invention as the combined teachings still do not suggest or teach storing an account state for a user of the system including the state of the documents used by the user and routing the account state of the user to a second terminal wherein the user is logged onto the second terminal. Preserving the state of the user account which includes the state of the user's work and routing the account state is not the same as merely providing links to applications, files and folders to the user. Based on the arguments presented, the Applicants request the Examiner to withdraw the 103(a) rejection on claims 1, 4-6, 8, 11-12, and 22.

With these clarifications, the Applicants respectfully submit that all of the pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, a notice of allowance is respectfully requested. If the Examiner has any questions concerning the present Amendment, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at (408) 774-6905. If any additional fees are due in connection with filing this Amendment, the Commissioner is also authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0805 (Order No. SUNMP582). A duplicate copy of the transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP

Jayanthi Minisandram
Registration No. 53,330

710 Lakeway Drive, Suite 200
Sunnyvale, CA 94086
Telephone: (408) 774-6911
Facsimile: (408) 749-6901
Customer No. 32291